



Advances in multivariate pattern analysis for chronic pain: an emerging, but imperfect method

Massieh Moayedí

Brain imaging has substantially advanced our understanding of chronic pain. Many studies have investigated the structure and function of the brain in chronic pain and have identified abnormalities in various distributed sets of brain regions.^{1,3,5} However, there are few, if any, patterns of abnormalities that have been identified that are specific to pain; the pattern of functional brain activity related to nociceptive processing derived by univariate statistical approaches can largely be accounted for by the salience content of the stimulus.^{4,9,16} One potential reason for the lack of specificity of brain imaging findings of chronic pain could be that pain is thought to be an emergent property of network activity, and this cannot be captured or modeled by traditional univariate statistics. Novel sophisticated analysis methods can account for distributed patterns of activity. These multivariate statistical approaches are more suitable for the complex pattern of brain activity related to nociceptive processing and pain modulation, both in health and disease (for a review, see Ref. 15).

One complex, ambiguous, and heterogeneous set of chronic pain conditions is temporomandibular disorders (TMDs), comprising of pain in the temporomandibular joint and/or the muscles of mastication. Temporomandibular disorders represent the most common orofacial chronic pain disorder and are more prevalent in women. Several studies have now reported structural and functional brain abnormalities in TMDs.^{12–14,20,21} There is some evidence that TMD may, in part, have a central etiology. However, there are no clear patterns of brain activity specific to TMD. Harper et al.⁶ set out to investigate whether they could use multivariate statistical methods to distinguish TMD-related brain activity from that of an experimental pressure pain. Specifically, the authors used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to image the brains of ten patients with myofascial-type TMD and ten healthy subjects, whereas they received experimental pressure pain on the temporalis muscle or on the thumb.

Multivariate statistics typically use algorithms to learn patterns of activity related to different states (machine learning) to make predictions of brain states. For example, an algorithm can be trained to differentiate between the pattern of brain activity elicited by a noxious stimulus, and an auditory one. Once the algorithm is trained, it is presented with patterns of either auditory or noxious stimuli, and it attempts to predict which modality is being presented. The challenge is to optimize the algorithm to increase its classification accuracy without rendering the classifier ecologically invalid.⁷

Several studies have used multivariate techniques—namely multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA) to search for “fingerprints” specific for acute pain processing^{10,19} and for chronic pain conditions.^{2,8,11,17,18} Although these studies usually have reported low-levels of specificity, such approaches are important as they have the potential to improve our understanding of the neural information processing of complex perceptions such as pain. For example, one important recent finding is that primary sensory regions in the cortex (which are traditionally believed to be unimodal) uniquely encode stimuli of all modalities.¹⁰ This study highlights the potential of MVPA to uncovering the central mechanisms of chronic pain disorders, and, in the future, the development of biomarkers.

Harper et al. use MVPA to test 3 different questions about central processing of pain. First the authors compare an acute experimental pressure pain stimulus on the temporalis muscle (or the face) to rest (nonstimulus, baseline fMRI) scans. The algorithm could successfully differentiate acute nociceptive stimuli from rest in healthy controls, and from spontaneous pain in TMD. The regions that encoded these differences were regions typically activated by nociceptive stimuli. Notably, the algorithm performed poorer in subjects who rated their clinical pain higher. This finding is important, as it suggests that, although MVPA is sensitive enough to differentiate between acute and chronic pain processing, these processes have common neural substrates.

Next, the authors compared the ability of MVPA to distinguish between experimental pressure pain on the temporalis region and on the thumb. The algorithm successfully differentiated brain activity from these 2 stimuli in patients with TMD, but not in controls. This finding suggests that the brain pattern associated with nociceptive processing of experimental pain in the temporalis region is different than that of pressure pain in the thumb in TMD. In addition, the algorithm performed better in patients with higher levels of clinical pain, suggesting that the algorithm is sensitive to both the spatial pattern of the signal and the amplitude of the fMRI signal. In other words, pain evoked over

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

Faculty of Dentistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. E-mail address: m.moayedí@dentistry.utoronto.ca

Copyright © 2016 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The International Association for the Study of Pain. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-SA) which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

PR9 1 (2016) e580

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PR9.0000000000000580>

the temporalis muscle has a greater signal than pain evoked on the thumb, either because of mechanical hyperalgesia in the temporalis muscle in TMD or because of the additive nociceptive drive between TMD and evoked pressure pain.

In a third analysis, the authors tested whether the algorithm could differentiate between patients with TMD and controls while they received evoked pressure pain on the face. The algorithm was only slightly better than chance. Together, these sets of findings demonstrate that, although MVPA was able to differentiate between sources of pain within patients, it could not yet be used to correctly classify patients from healthy, pain-free subjects.

In sum, the study by Harper et al. highlights that MVPA is an emerging technique with great promise in elucidating the central mechanisms of acute and chronic pain processing. However, the technique is still clearly limited. With the development of higher resolution brain imaging techniques, such as MRIs with higher field strengths, and the combination of different imaging modalities (such as EEG and fMRI), future MVPA studies could become invaluable research tools.

Conflicts of interest statement

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Article history:

Received 7 October 2016

Received in revised form 24 October 2016

Accepted 27 October 2016

References

- [1] Apkarian AV, Bushnell MC, Treede RD, Zubieta J. Human brain mechanisms of pain perception and regulation in health and disease. *Eur J Pain* 2005;9:463–84.
- [2] Bagarinao E, Johnson KA, Martucci KT, Ichescio E, Farmer MA, Labus J, Ness TJ, Harris R, Deutsch G, Apkarian AV, Mayer EA, Clauw DJ, Mackey S. Preliminary structural MRI based brain classification of chronic pelvic pain: a MAPP network study. *PAIN* 2014;155:2502–9.
- [3] Davis KD, Moayedi M. Central mechanisms of pain revealed through functional and structural MRI. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 2013;8: 518–34.
- [4] Downar J, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD. A cortical network sensitive to stimulus salience in a neutral behavioral context across multiple sensory modalities. *J Neurophysiol* 2002;87:615–20.
- [5] Duerden EG, Albanese MC. Localization of pain-related brain activation: a meta-analysis of neuroimaging data. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2013;34: 109–49.
- [6] Harper DE, Shah Y, Ichescio E, Gerstner GE, Peltier SJ. Multivariate classification of pain-evoked brain activity in temporomandibular disorder. *Pain Rep* 2016;1:e572.
- [7] Hu L, Iannetti GD. Painful issues in pain prediction. *Trends Neurosci* 2016;39:212–20.
- [8] Labus JS, Van Horn JD, Gupta A, Alaverdyan M, Torgerson C, Ashe-McNalley C, Irimia A, Hong JY, Naliboff B, Tillisch K, Mayer EA. Multivariate morphological brain signatures predict patients with chronic abdominal pain from healthy control subjects. *PAIN* 2015; 156:1545–54.
- [9] Legrain V, Iannetti GD, Plaghki L, Mouraux A. The pain matrix reloaded: a salience detection system for the body. *Prog Neurobiol* 2011;93: 111–24.
- [10] Liang M, Mouraux A, Hu L, Iannetti GD. Primary sensory cortices contain distinguishable spatial patterns of activity for each sense. *Nat Comm* 2013;4:1979.
- [11] Liu P, Qin W, Wang J, Zeng F, Zhou G, Wen H, von Deneen KM, Liang F, Gong Q, Tian J. Identifying neural patterns of functional dyspepsia using multivariate pattern analysis: a resting-state fMRI study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e68205.
- [12] Moayedi M, Weissman-Fogel I, Crawley AP, Goldberg MB, Freeman BV, Tenenbaum HC, Davis KD. Contribution of chronic pain and neuroticism to abnormal forebrain gray matter in patients with temporomandibular disorder. *Neuroimage* 2011;55:277–86.
- [13] Moayedi M, Weissman-Fogel I, Salomons TV, Crawley AP, Goldberg MB, Freeman BV, Tenenbaum HC, Davis KD. Abnormal gray matter aging in chronic pain patients. *Brain Res* 2012;1456:82–93.
- [14] Moayedi M, Weissman-Fogel I, Salomons TV, Crawley AP, Goldberg MB, Freeman BV, Tenenbaum HC, Davis KD. White matter brain and trigeminal nerve abnormalities in temporomandibular disorder. *PAIN* 2012;153:1467–77.
- [15] Rosa MJ, Seymour B. Decoding the matrix: benefits and limitations of applying machine learning algorithms to pain neuroimaging. *PAIN* 2014; 155:864–7.
- [16] Salomons TV, Iannetti GD, Liang M, Wood JN. The “Pain Matrix” in pain-free individuals. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73:755–56.
- [17] Sundermann B, Burgmer M, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Gaubitz M, Stuber C, Wessolleck E, Heuft G, Pfeleiderer B. Diagnostic classification based on functional connectivity in chronic pain: model optimization in fibromyalgia and rheumatoid arthritis. *Acad Radiol* 2014;21:369–77.
- [18] Ung H, Brown JE, Johnson KA, Younger J, Hush J, Mackey S. Multivariate classification of structural MRI data detects chronic low back pain. *Cereb Cortex* 2014;24:1037–44.
- [19] Wager TD, Atlas LY, Lindquist MA, Roy M, Woo CW, Kross E. An fMRI-based neurologic signature of physical pain. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368: 1388–97.
- [20] Weissman-Fogel I, Moayedi M, Tenenbaum HC, Goldberg MB, Freeman BV, Davis KD. Abnormal cortical activity in patients with temporomandibular disorder evoked by cognitive and emotional tasks. *PAIN* 2011;152:384–96.
- [21] Younger JW, Shen YF, Goddard G, Mackey SC. Chronic myofascial temporomandibular pain is associated with neural abnormalities in the trigeminal and limbic systems. *PAIN* 2010;149:222–8.